REFRENCES

1. Anderson, K. J. (2001). Internet use among college students: An exploratory study. Journal of American College Health, 50(1), 21-26.
2. Amiel, T., & Sargent, S. L. (2004). Individual differences in Internet usage motives. Computers in Human Behavior, 20, 711-726.
3. Barber, A . (M arch 11, 1997). N et's educational value questioned, USA Today, p. 4D
4. Beard, K. W. (2005). Internet addiction: A review of current assessment techniques and potential assessment questions. Cyberpsychology and Behavior, 8, 7-14
5. Bergman, S. M., Fearrington, M. E., Davenport, S. W., & Bergman, J. Z. (2011). Millennials, narcissism, and social networking: What narcissists do on social networking sites and why. Personality and Individual Differences, 50, 706–711. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2010.12.022.
6. Boyd, D.M. and Ellison, N.B. (2008), "Social network sites: definition, history and scholarship", Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, Vol. 13, pp. 210-230.
7. Cairncross, F.: 1997, The Death of Distance: How the Communications Revolution will Change our Lives (Orion, London).
8. Caplan, S. E. (2003). Preference for online social interaction: A theory of problematic Internet use and psychological well-being. Communication Research, 30, 625-648
9. Caplan, S. E. and High, A. C. (2011). Online Social Interaction, Psychosocial Well-being and Problematic Internet Use. In Internet addiction : a handbook and guide to evaluation and treatment. Ed. by K. S. Young. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley
10. Chen, Y., & Persson, A. (2002). Internet use among young and older adults: Relation to psychological wellbeing. Educational Gerontology, 28, 731-744
11. Chou, C., Chou, J., and Tyan, N. N. (1999). An exploratory study of Internet addiction, usage and communication pleasure—The Taiwan's case. Int. J. Educ. Telecommun. 5(1): 47-64
12. Christofides, E., Muise, A. and Desmarais, S. (2009), "Information disclosure and control onFacebook: are they two sides of the same coin or different processes?" CyberPsychology & Behavior, Vol. 12 No. 3, pp. 341-345.

13. Christofides, E., Muise, A. and Desmarais, S. (2012), “Hey mom, what’s on your Facebook? Comparing Facebook disclosure and privacy in adolescents and adults”, Social Psychology and Personality Science, Vol. 3 No. 1, pp. 48-54.
14. DiMaggio, P., E. Hargittai, W. R. Neuman and J. P. Robinson: 2001, 'Social implications of the Internet', Review of Sociology 27, pp. 307 336.
15. Donner, J. (2008), “Research approaches to mobile use in the developing world: a review of the literature”, The Information Society, Vol. 24 No. 3, pp. 140-159.
16. Ellison, N.B., Steinfeld, C. and Lampe, C. (2007), “The benefits of Facebook ‘friends’: social capital and students’ use of online social network sites”, Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, Vol. 12 No. 4, pp. 1143-1168.
17. Engestrom, J. (2005, April 13). Why some social network services work and others don’t —– Or: the case for object-centered sociality. Retrieved November 5, 2010
18. Gonzales, A. L., & Hancock, J. T. (2011). Mirror, mirror on my Facebook wall: Effects of exposure to facebook on self-esteem. Cyberpsychology, behavior and social networking 74(1-
19. Griffiths, M. D. (1998). Internet addiction: Does it really exist? In Gackenbach, J. (ed.), Psychology and the Internet: Intrapersonal, Interpersonal, and Transpersonal Implications, Academic Press, New York.
20. Heine, S. J., Takemoto, T., Moskalenko, S., et al. (2008). Mirrors in the head: Cultural variation in objective self-awareness. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 34,
21. Hine, C. (2000). Virtual ethnography. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage
22. Immordino-Yang, M.H., Christodoulou, J.A. and Singh, V. (2012), “Rest is not idleness: implications of the brain’s default mode for human development and education”, Perspectives on Psychological Science, Vol. 7 No. 4, pp. 352-364.
23. Israel, B.: 2000, 'Understanding the Web: Social, political, and economic dimensions of the Internet', Journalism and Mass Communication Quarterly 77(4), pp. 931-933.
24. Jones, Q., Ravid, G. and Rafaeli, S. (2004), “Information overload and the message dynamics of online interaction spaces”, Information Systems Research, Vol. 15 No. 2, pp. 194-210.
25. Kandell, J. J. (1998). Internet addiction on campus: The vulnerability of college students. Cyberpsychol. Behav. 1(1): 11-17

26. Kling, Rob. 1996a. "Social Relationships in Electronic Forums: Workplaces, and Communities." Pp. 426-54 in Rob Kling Controversy. San Diego
27. Kraut, R., Patterson, M., Lundmark, V., Kiesler, S., Mukopadhyay, T., & Scherlis, W. (1998). Internet paradox: A social technology that reduces social involvement and psychological well-being? American Psychologist, 53, 1017-1031.
28. Kreutzer, T. (2009), Generation Mobile: Online and Digital Media Usage on Mobile Phones Among Low-Income Urban Youths in South Africa, Centre for Film and Media Studies, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, available at: www.tinokreutzer.org/mobile
29. Larose, R., Kim, J. and Peng, W. (2010). Social Networking: Addictive, Compulsive, Problematic or Just Another Media Habit? In a Networked Self: Identity, Community and Culture on Social Network Sites. Ed. by Z. Papacharissi. New York:Routledge.
30. Lewis, J. and West, A. (2009), "'Friending': London-based undergraduates' experience of Facebook", New Media & Society, Vol. 11 No. 7, pp. 1209-1229.
31. Livingstone S, Haddon L, Görzig A, Ólafsson K. Risks and safety on the Internet: The perspective of European children. Full Findings. London: EU KIDS Online, 2011
32. Lui, Y and Tsai, Y. R. (2012). The impact of social networking services (SNS) on college students' social relationship and private life. International Journal of Arts and Commerce. Vol. 1 No. 4 September 2012
33. Ma, H. K., Li, S. C., & Pow J. W. C. (201 1). The relation of internet use to prosocial and antisocial behavior in Chinese adolescents. Cyberpsychology, Behavior and Social Networking, 14(
34. Morahan-Martin, J. M., & Schumacher, P. (2000). Incidence and correlates of pathological Internet use. Computers in Human Behaviour, 16, 13-29.
35. Morahan-Martin, J., & Schumacher, P. (2003). Loneliness and social uses of the Internet. Computers in Human Behaviour, 19, 659-671
36. Papacharissi, Z. (2009). The virtual geographies of social networks: A comparative analysis of Facebook, LinkedIn and ASmallWorld. New Media & Society, 11(1–2), 199–220.
37. Shapira, N. A., Goldsmith, T. D., Keck, P. E., Jr, Khosla, U. M., & McElroy, S. L. (2000). Psychiatric features of individuals with problematic internet use. Journal of Affective Disorders,

38. Sheldon, K.M., Abad, N. and Hinsch, C. (2011), “A two-process view of Facebook use and relatedness need-satisfaction: disconnection drives use, and connection rewards it”, Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, Vol. 100 No. 4, pp. 766-775.
39. Suler, R. (2004). The Final Showdown between In-Person and Cyberspace Relationships. In The Psychology of Cyberspace.http://users.rider.edu/~suler/psycyber/showdown.html#intro [march, 2013]
40. Whang, L. S., Lee, S., & Chang, G. (2003). Internet over-users' psychological profiles: A behavior sampling analysis on internet addiction. Cyberpsychology and Behavior, 6(2), 143
41. Widyanto, L., and McMurran, M. (2004). The psychometric properties of the Internet addiction test. Cyber psychology and behaviour, 7, 443-450
42. Yan, Z. (2009). Limited knowledge and limited resources: Children's and adolescents' understanding of the Internet. Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology, 30
43. Yellowlees, P. M., & Marks, S. (2007). Problematic Internet use or Internet addiction? Computers in Human Behavior, 23(3), 1447-1453
44. Young, Yue and Ying (2011). Prevalence Estimates and Etiologic Models of InternetAddiction. In Internet addiction: a handbook and guide to evaluation and treatment. Ed. by K. S. Young. Hoboken, NJ : Wiley.
45. Zhu, R., Dholakia, U.M., Chen, X. and Algesheimer, R. (2012), “Does online community participation foster risky financial behaviour?”, Journal of Marketing Research, Vol. 49 No. 3, pp. 394-407.